

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/670,753	Applicant(s) IVANOVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner TuyetLien (Lien) T. Tran	Art Unit 2179	

All Participants:

(1) TuyetLien (Lien) T. Tran.

(2) Hunaid Basrai.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 5 December 2006

Time: 3:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-43

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BA HUYNH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of applicant's amendment filed 10/13/06, the examiner proposed an amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Particularly, the examiner suggested adding the limitation of claims 3 and 4 and the limitation "over an icon representating a node" to all independent claims. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's Amendment.